

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/762,991	02/14/2001	Ning Guo	22171.240	22171.240 2008	
27683	7590 05/06/2004		EXAMINER		
HAYNES AND BOONE, LLP 901 MAIN STREET, SUITE 3100			LEE, CHI HO A		
DALLAS, TX 75202			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			2663	6	
			DATE MAILED: 05/06/2004	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	09/762,991	GUO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Andrew Lee	2663			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>23 April 2004</u>. This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i>, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14, 16, 17, 18</u> is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>15 and 19-21</u> is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11).	epted or b) objected to by the formula of the following on be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing (s) is object to be a second or by the formula of the drawing of the formula of the fo	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) ⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ⊠ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3, 5.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/762,991

Art Unit: 2663

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. This application does not contain an abstract of the disclosure as required by 37 CFR 1.72(b). An abstract on a separate sheet is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

2. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

3. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Independent Claims 1 and 5 is directed to a signal frame structure. See MPEP 2106. The claimed signal frame structure lacks structure and is not functionally interrelated to the medium.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 8, 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claims 8, 17, the phrase "type" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Application/Control Number: 09/762,991

Art Unit: 2663

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 1-3, 5, 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jayapalan U.S. Patent Number 5,533,019.

Re Claims 1, 5, 13, fig. 2 teaches data communication between the mobile and base station (first and second nodes and interfaces) according to the frame structure of the fig. 4 that teaches the MDLP block 68 (ARQ block) having a first bit length (See col. 7, lines 1-10); FEC block 63 having a second bit length 385; physical layer frame 60 having a third bit length; and interleave block 54 having a fourth bit length wherein each lengths are different (See col. 5, lines 45 +).

Re Claim 2, refer to Claim 1, wherein the 60 include multiple FEC blocks and each FEC includes multiple ARQ blocks.

Re Claim 3, ARQ block inherently includes tail bits to vary for varying data bits.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/762,991

Art Unit: 2663

9. Claims 6, 7, 14, 16, 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jayapalan U.S. Patent Number 5,533,019.

Re Claim 6, Jayapalan teaches the overhead bits to include tails bits but fails to explicitly teach the CRC in the overhead bits. One skilled in the art would have been motivated to include the CRC in the overhead to enable reliable transmission.

Re Claims 7, 16, Jayapalan teaches fails to explicitly teach the spread spectrum wireless network. However, one skilled in the art would have been motivated to modify the wireless network of Jayapalan to be compatible with any wireless multiple access protocols. Furthermore, one skilled in the art would have been motivated to maximize throughput to the mobile.

Re Claims 8, 17, refer to Claim 7, wherein the wireless network supports both data or voice.

Re Claim 18, refer to FEC block of Claim 1.

Allowable Subject Matter

10. Claims 15, 19-21 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

In combination with claims 13 and 21, prior art fails to teach the arranging means modifies the number of FEC blocks, the number of ARQ blocks, and the number of information bits in the ARQ blocks, to accommodate different transmission rates.

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/762,991

Art Unit: 2663

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Lee whose telephone number is 703-305-1500. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8:30AM to 6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chau Nguyen can be reached on 703-308-5340. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ANDY LEE
AI PATENT EXAMINER

4/29/04